

TWO INFINITE VERSIONS OF NONLINEAR DVORETSKY'S THEOREM

KEI FUNANO

ABSTRACT. We make two additions to recent results of Mendel and Naor on nonlinear versions of Dvoretzky's theorem. We consider the cases of metric spaces with infinite Hausdorff dimension and countably infinite metric spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION AND THE STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

We say that a metric space X is *embedded with distortion* $D \geq 1$ in a metric space Y if there exist a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a constant $r > 0$ such that

$$r d_X(x, y) \leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \leq Dr d_X(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Such a map f is called a *D-embedding*.

Dvoretzky's theorem states that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, every n -dimensional normed space contains a $k(n, \varepsilon)$ -dimensional subspace that embeds into a Hilbert space with distortion $1 + \varepsilon$ ([5]). This theorem was conjectured by Grothendieck ([7]). See [12–14, 16, 17] for the estimates of $k(n, \varepsilon)$ and the further developments related to this theorem.

Bourgain, Figiel, and Milman proved the following theorem as a natural nonlinear variant of Dvoretzky's theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (cf. [3]). *There exists two universal constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ satisfying the following. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ every finite metric space X contains a subset S which embeds into a Hilbert space with distortion $1 + \varepsilon$ and*

$$|S| \geq \frac{c_1 \varepsilon}{\log(c_2 / \varepsilon)} \log |X|.$$

See [2], [10], [15] for the further investigation. It is natural to try to get some versions of the above theorem in the case where $|X| = \infty$. In this paper we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, every countable infinite metric space X has an infinite subset which embeds into an ultrametric space with distortion $1 + \varepsilon$.*

Recall that a metric space (U, ρ) is called an *ultrametric space* if for every $x, y, z \in X$ we have $\rho(x, y) \leq \max\{\rho(x, z), \rho(z, y)\}$. Since every separable ultrametric space isometrically

Date: May 9, 2012.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C23.

Key words and phrases. Dvoretzky's theorem, ultrametric space.

This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity (startup) No.23840020.

embeds into a Hilbert space ([18]), we verify that Theorem 1.1 holds in the case where $|X| = \infty$.

Recently Mendel and Naor proved another variant of Dvoretzky's theorem, answering a question by T. Tao ([11]). For a metric space X we denote its Hausdorff dimension by $\dim_H(X)$. A subset of a complete separable metric space is called an *analytic set* if it is an image of a complete separable metric space under a continuous map. Note that analytic sets are not necessarily complete. For example any Borel subsets of a complete separable metric space are analytic sets (refer to [9] for analytic sets).

Theorem 1.3 (cf. [11, Theorem 1.7]). *There exists a universal constant $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, every analytic set X whose Hausdorff dimension is finite has a closed subset $S \subseteq X$ that embeds with distortion $2 + \varepsilon$ in an ultrametric space, and*

$$\dim_H(S) \geq \frac{c\varepsilon}{\log(1/\varepsilon)} \dim_H(X).$$

In [11] Mendel and Naor stated the above theorem only for compact metric spaces. As they remarked in [11, Introduction], their theorem is valid for more general metric spaces. For example the above theorem holds for every analytic set X since the problem can be reduced to the case of a compact subset of X with the same Hausdorff dimension (see [4], [8, Corollary 7]).

In the following theorem we consider the case where $\dim_H(X) = \infty$.

Theorem 1.4. *For every $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, every analytic set X whose Hausdorff dimension is infinite has a closed subset S such that S can be embedded into an ultrametric space with distortion $2 + \varepsilon$ and has infinite Hausdorff dimension.*

It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [11] that if $\dim_H(X) = \infty$, then X contains an arbitrary large dimensional closed subset which embeds into an ultrametric space. Combining Theorem 1.3 with Theorem 1.4 we find that nonlinear Dvoretzky's theorem holds for all analytic sets.

The following theorem due to Mendel and Naor asserts that we cannot replace the distortion strictly less than 2 in Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

Theorem 1.5 (cf. [11, Theorem 1.8]). *For every $\alpha > 0$ there exists a compact metric space (X, d) of Hausdorff dimension α , such that if $S \subseteq X$ embeds into a Hilbert space with distortion strictly smaller than 2 then $\dim_H(S) = 0$.*

Theorem 1.5 immediately implies that the same result holds in the case where $\alpha = \infty$.

It is known that ℓ_2 does not embed into ℓ_p with finite distortion for any $p \in [1, \infty) \setminus \{2\}$ ([1, Corollary 2.1.6]). In particular, an infinite dimensional analogue of Dvoretzky's theorem is no longer true in the linear setting. In contrast to this fact, Theorem 1.4 asserts that an infinite dimensional Dvoretzky's theorem holds in the nonlinear setting.

2. PROOF

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a separable metric space such that $\dim_H(X) = \infty$. Then there exists a sequence $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of mutually disjoint closed subsets of X such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } K_i = 0$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H K_i = \infty$.*

Proof. For every $x \in X$ we take a closed neighborhood K_x of x such that $\text{diam } K_x \leq 1$. Since X is separable, applying the Lindelöf covering theorem we get a countable subset $F \subseteq X$ such that $X = \bigcup_{x \in F} K_x$. Since $\dim_H(\bigcup_{x \in F} K_x) = \sup_{x \in F} \dim_H K_x$, there exists $x_1 \in F$ such that $\dim_H K_{x_1} = \infty$ or there exists a sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq F$ such that $\{\dim_H K_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is strictly increasing and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H K_{y_i} = \infty$.

We first consider the latter case. We put $K_1 := K_{y_1}$. By the monotonicity of $\dim_H K_{y_i}$ we have $\dim_H(K_{y_i} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_{y_j}) = \dim_H K_{y_i}$ for $i \geq 2$. Covering $K_{y_i} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_{y_j}$ by countably many closed subsets of diameter $\leq 1/i$, we thus find a closed subset $K_i \subseteq K_{y_i} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_{y_j}$ such that $\dim_H K_i = \dim_H K_{y_i}$ and $\text{diam } K_i \leq 1/i$. This $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a desired sequence.

We consider the former case. Covering K_{x_1} by countably many closed subsets $\{K_y^1\}_{y \in F_1}$ so that $\text{diam } K_y^1 \leq 2^{-1} \text{diam } K_{x_1}$, we have the following two cases: There exists $x_2 \in F_1$ such that $\dim_H(K_{x_2}^1) = \infty$ or there exists a sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq F_1$ such that $\{\dim_H K_{y_i}^1\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is strictly increasing and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H K_{y_i}^1 = \infty$. Since we have already proved the lemma in the latter case, we consider the former case. Continuing this process we may assume that there exists a chain $K_{x_2}^1 \supseteq K_{x_3}^2 \supseteq K_{x_4}^3 \supseteq \dots$ of closed subsets of X such that $\dim_H(K_{x_i}^{i-1}) = \infty$ and $\text{diam } K_{x_{i+1}}^i \leq 2^{-1} \text{diam } K_{x_i}^{i-1}$. Since $K_{x_i}^{i-1} \setminus \bigcup_{j=i}^\infty (K_{x_j}^{j-1} \setminus K_{x_{j+1}}^j)$ consists of at most one point, we get $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H(K_{x_i}^{i-1} \setminus K_{x_{i+1}}^i) = \infty$. By taking a subsequence we may assume that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H(K_{x_i}^{i-1} \setminus K_{x_{i+1}}^i) = \infty$. Taking a closed subset $K_i \subseteq K_{x_i}^{i-1} \setminus K_{x_{i+1}}^i$ such that $\dim_H K_i \geq 2^{-1} \dim_H(K_{x_i}^{i-1} \setminus K_{x_{i+1}}^i)$ we easily see that this $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a desired sequence. This completes the proof. \square

We first prove Theorem 1.4. It turns out that Theorem 1.2 follows from the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We take a sequence $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of closed subsets of X in Lemma 2.1. For each i we fix an element $x_i \in K_i$. Note that closed subsets of analytic sets are also analytic sets. According to Theorem 1.3 there exist $A_i \subseteq K_i$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \dim_H A_i = \infty$ and A_i embeds into some ultrametric space (U_i, ρ_i) with distortion $2 + \varepsilon$, i.e., there exist $f_i : A_i \rightarrow U_i$ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad d(x, y) \leq \rho_i(f_i(x), f_i(y)) \leq (2 + \varepsilon) d(x, y) \text{ for any } x, y \in A_i.$$

We divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1. $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is not bounded.

By taking a subsequence we may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_1, x_i) = \infty$ and $\text{diam } K_i \leq 1/(2 + \varepsilon)$. By taking a subsequence we may also assume that

$$(2.2) \quad 1 \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} - 1}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} \sqrt{1 + 2^{-1} \varepsilon}}, \frac{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} - \sqrt{1 + 2^{-1} \varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1 + 2^{-1} \varepsilon}}, \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2^{-1} \varepsilon} - 1}{2} \right\} d(A_1, A_2)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad d(A_1, A_{i-1}) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon} - 1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}\sqrt{1+2^{-1}\varepsilon}}, \frac{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon} - \sqrt{1+2^{-1}\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+2^{-1}\varepsilon}} \right\} d(A_1, A_i).$$

for any $i \geq 2$. Put $R_i := d(A_i, A_1)$ for $i \geq 2$. Note that $\text{diam } f_i(A_i) \leq 1$ since f_i satisfies (2.1) and $\text{diam } A_i \leq \text{diam } K_i \leq 1/(2+\varepsilon)$.

For each $i \geq 2$ we add an additional point $u_{i,0}$ to $f_i(A_i)$ and put $Y_i := f_i(A_i) \cup \{u_{i,0}\}$. Define the distance function $\tilde{\rho}_i$ on Y_i as follows: $\tilde{\rho}_i(u, u_{i,0}) := R_i$ for $u \in f_i(A_i)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_i(u, v) := \rho_i(u, v)$ for $u, v \in f_i(A_i)$. Since $\text{diam } f_i(A_i) \leq 1 \leq R_i$, each $(Y_i, \tilde{\rho}_i)$ is an ultrametric space. Let us consider the space

$$(2.4) \quad U := \{(u_i) \in \prod_{i=2}^{\infty} Y_i \mid u_i \neq u_{i,0} \text{ only for finitely many } i\}$$

and define the distance function ρ on U by

$$(2.5) \quad \rho((u_i), (v_i)) := \sup_i \tilde{\rho}_i(u_i, v_i).$$

It is easy to verify that (U, ρ) is an ultrametric space. For each $x \in A_i$ we put

$$(2.6) \quad f(x) := (u_{2,0}, u_{3,0}, \dots, u_{i-1,0}, f_i(x), u_{i+1,0}, u_{i+2,0}, \dots).$$

We shall prove that f is a $(2+\varepsilon)$ -embedding from the closed subset $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq X$ to the ultrametric space (U, ρ) . Note that $\dim_H(\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i) = \infty$.

We take two arbitrary points $x \in A_i$ and $y \in A_j$ ($i < j$) and fix $z \in A_1$. By (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$d(x, z) \leq R_i + \text{diam } A_1 + \text{diam } A_i \leq R_i + 2 \leq \sqrt{1+2^{-1}\varepsilon} R_i.$$

Combining this inequality with (2.2) and (2.3) also implies

$$d(x, y) \geq d(y, z) - d(x, z) \geq R_j - \sqrt{1+2^{-1}\varepsilon} R_i \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}} R_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}} \rho(f(x), f(y)).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &\leq d(x, z) + d(y, z) \leq \sqrt{1+2^{-1}\varepsilon} R_i + \sqrt{1+2^{-1}\varepsilon} R_j \\ &\leq \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} R_j \\ &= \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \rho(f(x), f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is a $(2+\varepsilon)$ -embedding.

Case 2. $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded but not totally bounded.

By taking a subsequence, we may assume that there exist two constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \leq d(x_i, x_j) \leq c_2 \text{ for any distinct } i, j.$$

For any $\delta > 0$ we divide $[c_1, c_2] = \bigcup_{j=1}^m I_j$ so that $\text{diam } I_j < \delta$ for any j .

Pick $j_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ such that $d(x_i, x_1) \in I_{j_1}$ holds for infinitely many i . Put

$$X_1 := \{x_i \mid d(x_i, x_1) \in I_{j_1}\} = \{x_{k_1(1)}, x_{k_1(2)}, \dots\}.$$

We then choose $j_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ so that $d(x_{k_1(i)}, x_{k_1(1)}) \in I_{j_2}$ holds for infinitely many i and put

$$X_2 := \{x_{k_1(i)} \in X_1 \mid d(x_{k_1(i)}, x_{k_1(1)}) \in I_{j_2}\} = \{x_{k_2(1)}, x_{k_2(2)}, \dots\}.$$

Repeatedly we obtain a sequence $\{j_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ whose terms are elements of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $X_i = \{x_{k_i(1)}, x_{k_i(2)}, \dots\}$. By a pigeon hole argument we find a subsequence $\{j_{h(i)}\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \{j_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ which is monochromatic, i.e., $j_{h(i)} \equiv l$ for some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. We then get $d(x_{k_{h(i)}(i)}, x_{k_{h(j)}(j)}) \in I_l$. Since $\text{diam } I_l < \delta$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } A_i = 0$, by choosing sufficiently small δ and taking a subsequence, we thereby get the following: There exists a number $\alpha \geq c_1$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha \leq d(u, v) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\alpha \text{ for any } u \in A_i \text{ and } v \in A_j (i \neq j)$$

and $\text{diam } A_i \leq (2 + \varepsilon)^{-1}\alpha$. As in Case 1 we add an additional point $u_{i,0}$ to $f_i(A_i)$ and put $Y_i := f_i(A_i) \cup \{u_{i,0}\}$. We define the distance function $\tilde{\rho}_i$ on Y_i as follows: $\tilde{\rho}_i(u, u_{i,0}) := \alpha$ for $u \in f_i(A_i)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_i(u, v) := \rho_i(u, v)$ for $u, v \in f_i(A_i)$. Since $\text{diam } f_i(A_i) \leq (2 + \varepsilon) \text{diam } A_i \leq \alpha$, each $(Y_i, \tilde{\rho}_i)$ is an ultrametric space. From these $(Y_i, \tilde{\rho}_i)$ we construct an ultrametric space (U, ρ) by (2.4) and (2.5). Then a map $f : \bigcup_{i=2}^\infty A_i \rightarrow (U, \rho)$ defined by (2.6) is a $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -embedding.

Case 3. $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is totally bounded.

The proof is similar to Case 1. From the totally boundedness, by taking a subsequence, we may assume that $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } A_i = 0$, the sequence $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ Hausdorff converges to a point x_∞ . Let $\delta > 0$ be specified later. Note that $x_\infty \notin A_i$ for any sufficiently large i since A_i are mutually disjoint closed subsets of X . Hence, by taking a subsequence, we may also assume that $d(A_i, x_\infty)/d(A_{i-1}, x_\infty) \leq \delta$ for each i . Covering A_i by countably many closed subsets $\{B_{ij}\}_j$ of diameter $\leq \delta d(A_i, x_\infty)$ we find a subset B_{ij} such that $\dim_H(B_{ij}) \geq 2^{-1} \dim_H(A_i)$ and

$$\frac{\text{diam } B_{ij}}{d(B_{ij}, x_\infty)} \leq \frac{\text{diam } B_{ij}}{d(A_i, x_\infty)} \leq \delta.$$

Hence by replacing A_i with B_{ij} , we may assume that $\text{diam } A_i / d(A_i, x_\infty) \leq \delta$ for every i .

As in Case 1 and 2 we add an additional point $u_{i,0}$ to $f_i(A_i)$ and put $Y_i := f_i(A_i) \cup \{u_{i,0}\}$. Define the distance function $\tilde{\rho}_i$ on Y_i by $\tilde{\rho}_i(u, u_{i,0}) := d(A_i, x_\infty)$ for $u \in f_i(A_i)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_i(u, v) := \rho_i(u, v)$ for $u, v \in f_i(A_i)$. If $\delta \leq (2 + \varepsilon)^{-1}$, then we have

$$\text{diam } f_i(A_i) \leq (2 + \varepsilon) \text{diam } A_i \leq d(A_i, x_\infty),$$

which implies that each $(Y_i, \tilde{\rho}_i)$ is an ultrametric space. From these $(Y_i, \tilde{\rho}_i)$ we define an ultrametric space (U, ρ) by (2.4) and (2.5). If we trace the proof of Case 1 by replacing R_i with $d(A_i, x_\infty)$, then we easily see that a map $f : \bigcup_{i=2}^\infty A_i \rightarrow (U, \rho)$ defined by (2.6) is $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -embedding, provided that $\delta > 0$ small enough. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $X := \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Apply the proof of Theorem 1.4 by identifying each x_i with K_i . Note that the loss of the distortion in the proof only comes from (2.1), which we can ignore in the case where $A_i = x_i$. Hence the space X can embed into an ultrametric space with distortion $1 + \varepsilon$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. After this work was completed, the author proved in [6] that every proper ultrametric space isometrically embeds into ℓ_p for any $p \geq 1$. In particular a subset S in Theorem 1.3 also embeds into ℓ_p . Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 also hold in the case where the target metric space is ℓ_p instead of an ultrametric space. In fact, in the proof of Theorem 1.4 observe that we may assume that A_i is compact ([4], [8, Corollary 7]). Since $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i$ is a proper subset which embeds into an ultrametric space in the case of Case 1 and 3, we consider only Case 2. Since we have (2.7) in Case 2 we easily see that $\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} A_i$ embeds into ℓ_p . It was mentioned in [6, Proposition 3.4] that an ℓ_p analogue of Theorem 1.5 also holds.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to express his thanks to Mr. Takumi Yokota for his suggestion regarding the two theorems in this paper and Mr. Ryokichi Tanaka for discussion. The author also thanks Professor Manor Mendel and Professor Assaf Naor for their useful comments. The author is also indebted to an anonymous referee for carefully reading this paper and helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **233**. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [2] Y. Bartal, N. Linial, M. Mendel, and A. Naor, *On metric Ramsey-type phenomena*. Ann. of Math. (2) **162**, no. 2, 643–709, 2005.
- [3] J. Bourgain, T. Figiel, and V. Milman, *On Hilbertian subsets of finite metric spaces*. Israel J. Math., **55** (2), 147–152, 1986.
- [4] L. Carleson, *Selected problems on exceptional sets*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 13. D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1967.
- [5] A. Dvoretzky, *Some results on convex bodies and Banach spaces*. In *Proc. Internat. Sympos. Linear Spaces (Jerusalem, 1960)*, 123–160. Jerusalem Academic Press, Jerusalem, 1961.
- [6] K. Funano, *Embedding proper ultrametric spaces into ℓ_p and its application to nonlinear Dvoretzky’s theorem*, preprint, 2012. Available online at “<http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.1761>”.
- [7] A. Grothendieck, *Sur certaines classes de suites dans les espaces de Banach et le théorème de Dvoretzky-Rogers*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo, **8**, 81–110 (1956), 1953.
- [8] J. D. Howroyd, *On dimension and on the existence of sets of finite positive Hausdorff measure*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **70**(3): 581–604, 1995.
- [9] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*. (English summary) Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **156**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [10] M. Mendel and A. Naor, *Ramsey partitions and proximity data structures*. J. Eur. Math. Soc., **9** (2), 253–275, 2007.
- [11] M. Mendel and A. Naor, *Ultrametric subsets with large Hausdorff dimension*, to appear in Invent. Math. Available online at “<http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.0879v3>”.
- [12] V. D. Milman, *A new proof of A. Dvoretzky’s theorem on cross-sections of convex bodies*. Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen., **5** (4), 28–37, 1971.
- [13] V. D. Milman, *Dvoretzky’s theorem—thirty years later*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **2**, no. 4, 455–479, 1992.

- [14] V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *An “isomorphic” version of Dvoretzky’s theorem. II.* In Convex geometric analysis (Berkeley, CA, 1996), volume **34** of Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., pages 159–164. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [15] A. Naor and T. Tao, *Scale-oblivious metric fragmentation and the nonlinear Dvoretzky theorem*, to appear in Israel J. Math. Available online at ”<http://arxiv.org/abs/1003.4013>”.
- [16] G. Schechtman, *Euclidean sections of convex bodies, series of lectures*. Available online at “<http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.6401>”.
- [17] G. Schechtman, *Two observations regarding embedding subsets of Euclidean spaces in normed spaces*, Adv. Math., **200**(1):125–135, 2006.
- [18] I. A. Vestfrid and A. F. Timan, *A universality property of Hilbert spaces*. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **246**, no. 3, 528–530, 1979.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502
JAPAN

E-mail address: `kfunano@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`